Previous references.

Jud. 70-02

Telegram No 5817 dtd 11-9-11
656

Later references.

1911-12
(Prisons)

File No. 50-11

Serial Nos. 1-8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-8

Part B. for June 13

SUBJECT.

Relieving the Barr guard at Shimoga from guards the Dt Lock up at that place

Table of Contents

From the Chief Commandant Mysore State Proofs No 6337 dt 11-6-12 State that the Treasury escort duty is heavy in the Dts serves by the 3rd Battalion and requests that the Barr guard at Shimoga may be relieved of their lock up duty.

2 To the D.C. Shimoga No J 3510 dt 29-5-12
Requesting to be favoured with his opinion in the matter

3 From the same officer No 349 J 64 dt 17-6-12

4 Forwards copy of his letter to the D.G. of prisons on the same subject & adds that he is not disposed to recommend the withdrawal of the Barr guard.

G. R. S. 58

4 To the Chief Commandant No J 1351 dt 19-11-12
Requests him to forward a statement showing the strength & cost of the guard on duty at the Shimoga Jail

P To

5 To the D.G. of Prisons No J 1357 dt 19.11.12
Requesting him to forward a statement showing the strength and cost of the Guard establishment that may be required for the Dt Jail of Shimoga if the Barr guard now detailed for duty there is to be withdrawn.

6 From the Chief Commandant No 2266 dt 5.12.12
forwards a statement showing the strength and cost of the Barr guard detailed for duty at the Dt Jail Shimoga

7 From the D.G. of prisons No 186/27-07 dt 8.2.13
forwards a statement showing the guard establishment that will be necessary if the Barr guard is to be withdrawn & states that the Estt. proposed by the Supdt of Pol, Shimoga at a cost of Rs 113 seems reasonable.

8 To the Ch. Commandant Mysore State Prots / No J 3805-7
D.G. of Prisons
Dt. Magte of Shimoga } dt 12.2

Declines to relieve the Barr detatchment from guard at the Shimoga Dt. Lock-up.

H.C. Srikantiah
9.5.13

Subm. N° 34.
6 11 12

G.R.S. 11] 1912

N°C. 295
9. 5. 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Pensions)

NOTES.

File No. 50-11

FILE HEADING.

Relieving the Bar guard at Shimoga from guarding the Lock up at that place.

From

The Chief Commandant Mysore State Troops.

No. 4337 Dated 11-4-12 Received 12-4-12

Diary No. 2745 Enclos. 3 Spare Copies. — Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the treasury escort duty is heavy in the Sts loved by the 3rd Battalion and requests that the Bar guard at Shimoga may be relieved of their lock up duty.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The question was once before Govt and it was ordered that it was not advisable to relieve the Barr guard of their lock-up duty on account of the distance of the jail from the town & its proximity to the gingles. The lock-up is not

vide file ending with No 5817/97 20.11.97 J656

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-9-11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Forest File No. 50 of 1911

Serial No.

provided with a separate guarding establishment. The D.C. of Canara & the D.C. Magistrate Shimoga are not in favour of the relieving the Bar guard.
Subordinates.

AS 64 15/4/12) SW(11) 18/4

R. C. Hyde No. 18 3-5-12

Ho No: 574 - 11-12

Forwarded the Hon. J. Postmaster for favour of opinion. Bangalore and Mysore Jails have a guarding Sub for their own.

Bo.

Secy Finance
Forest.

2/5/12 Submitted to the D.G. with this Office file for reference. 4/5/12

17

3 I am not in favor of relieving the Bar guard from lock up duty unless the Govt is prepared to entertain a separate guarding establishment for the Dist. Lock up at Shimoga

J. S. Acting Asstt Secy
4.5.12 J. S. G. Bowring Secy

Finance

111
6-5-12

NOTE—Contra. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

(3)

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pris File No. 50 of 191(

Serial No.

4 If the Ban guard is to be relieved of the lock-up duty, the D.G. of Prisons may be requested to send up proposals for entertaining a guarding establishment. Submit for orders

AS 6/5/12 SW(11) 7/5/12

5 The Dy. G. of Prisons may perhaps be requested to submit proposals for a separate guarding Estt to the Shimoga jail, if the Ban guard is to be relieved of lockup duty.

6 On previous occasions the retention of detachment of the Ban guard for lock up duty has been justified on the ground that the Shimoga Lock up is far away from the town and near the jungles. But the present Dy. SP does not evidently think that the Ban guard is absolutely necessary.

9/5/12

See 7 The Dy. may be also be consulted

8 Put up draft SP

11/5/12 Accepted

9

18/5/12

PS—Contra. sheet.

GPS—23,000—20-1-12

(7)

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pris File No. 50 of 1911

Serial No.	
1	Draft put up AS64) SN(11) 15/5/12 15/5/12 10 Letter as revised Sus 21/5/12 18/5/12
2	To the D-G. Shimoga. no J3510 dy 29-5-12 Requesting to be favoured with his opinion in the matter
3.	From the same officer no 349/J 64 dy 17 June 1 Forwards copy of his letter to the D.G. of Prisons on the same subject & adds that he is not disposed to recommend the withdrawal of the Barr Guard. 11 The D.C. magistrate is not in favour of the Chief Commandant's proposal. Submd for orders. 12 He sees the no reason why Shimoga also shd not have a separate grading list like the other D. Lockups and gails. No doubt it will have to be a specially strong one. The Barr Guard does not seem to be indispensable AS64) SN(11) 20/6/12 21.6.12 25/6/12 Sd 13 The present arrangement may continue 2nd Commandant + Dewan 28/6

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—10,000—23-1-12

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pols File No. 50 of 1911

Serial No.

14 I think there ought to be a separate establishment

2136 4-7-12

50/6

42/17

15 In the Cooch Behar Case of 1897 some very good reasons are given why an armed guard like the Bara guard should be mounted in the Lock up at Shillong.

While there may be urgent reasons for the Commissioner with a view to his deciding whether there is any ground

16 I think the present arrangement may be continued

11 Sept

17 Put up drafts.

23 13/9

16/9/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pris File No. 60 of 1911

3343-H

Serial No.

19

Draft Subd.

KN(123) BN
17.9.12

SA
20/9/12

Secy 19
2nd Counsellor

2nd
21/9

SA
23/9/12

W Please put up refce if any showing any Thana Lock-up should be guarded by Barr Sepoys & how replaced by a Separate civil establishment

28/9

SD
29/9

SA
28/9/12

H
Subd. There are no references to show that the other Dt. Lock-ups were guarded by Barr

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPH—20,000—26-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Fis File No. 50 of 1911

Serial No.

Sepoys.

2 In 1896, the Military guard was dispensed with in the Bangalore & Mysore Jails and replaced by a reserve guard. As there is no separate guarding establishment at Shimoga, the Barr guard alone have been doing this work from the beginning. There seem to be no other references on the point raised by the 2nd Br. Subd. for orders

K.N.(123) 30.9.12

(Vide G.P.O. No. 3033-4/J 3033 Dt 21.8.96.)

2/10/12

2nd 23 Though the lockup is at a distance of 1½ miles from the prison I do not consider it necessary to have the Barr Sepoy guard. I cannot think that there will be any danger to the prisoners escaping if the lockup has a civil guard as

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-9-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pris: File No. 50 of 1911

Serial No.

as in these places.

24 The chief reason why the withdrawal of the boat belongs from this ~~Dy~~ Dy of persons was for a separate establishment. The matter if necessary may be discussed at a meeting of the Council

30/10/12

Submitted.

3820 / 4.10.12

Secretary

Secretary 3/10

Secretary Council

Secretary 4/10

C.C.M.

Note for meeting

23

3-4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-9-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Imp File No. 570 of 1911

Serial No.

Vide Subj.

The subject has been noted for meeting. The file has to be perused by the 1st Councillor before it is brought up at a meeting of Council.

TDD
07/11/12

27 1st Counsellor

23/11/12

28 A Statement showing the Bare Cost Strength & Cost of the Guard, & what a near Satt'fy Cost should be put up.

29 Why are these men now wanted by the Mil. Dept? Has any addn of work been made as seems to be implied in the Ch. Commandant's letter?

14/11/12

30 13/11
Please forward his wpn required by 1st Counsellor

23/14/11

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

14/11/12

F. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Fns File No. 50 of 191

Serial No.	
	Drafts Subd. calling for the above information. K.N.(123) 15.11.12
4	Do. The Chief Commandant no. J 13 51 dt 19.11.12 Requests him to forward a statement showing the strength & cost of the guard on duty at the Shimoga jail ~~may be forwarded~~.
5	Do. The D.G. of Prisons no. J 18 52 dt 19.11.12 Requests him to forward a statement Shewing the strength & cost of the guard Estt that may be required for the Dt. Jail of Shimoga if the Barr guard now detailed for duty there is to be withdrawn.
6	From The Chief Commandant no. 2264 dt 5.12.12 Forwards a statement shewing the strength & cost of the Barr guard detailed for duty at the Dt. Jail, Shimoga.
7	From The D.G. of Prisons no. 186/27-07 dt 8.2.13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Bio File No. 50 of 191(

Serial No.

-

Forwards a statement Shewing the guard establishment that will be necessary if the Barr guard is to be withdrawn & states that the estt. proposed by the Supdt. of Police, Shimoga at a cost of 113 Rs seems reasonable.

33 Subd. with a statement showing the Strength & cost of the Barr guard now deputed for work at the Shimoga Jail & also with a Statement shewing the estt. recommended by the D.G. for carrying on the work in case the Barr guard is withdrawn.

(Encl. 2 to Paper under disposal IV) Barr guard.

Proposed guard Establishment. (Enclosure to paper under disposal III)

No.	Designation	Cost	No.	Designation	Cost.
1	Havildar (1st grade)	Rs 12	1	Jamedar (2nd grade)	Rs 20
1	Naick (do)	Rs 10	2	Daffedars (12 Rs)	" 24
6	Sepoys (Rs 9)	Rs 54 (45)	6	Constables (1st class at Rs 8) each	" 48
	Total	Rs 76	3	Constables (2nd class at Rs 7)	" 21
					Rs. 113
				Total per annum	113 x 12
					Rs 1356

V

1) X The Shimoga Lockup jail has at present an establishment consisting of

1	Dafeder on Rs 12+4	or 16 Rs
3	Warders (1st grade at Rs 8)	24 Rs
3	" (2nd grade at Rs 7)	21 Rs
1	Tobi x	7 Rs
		68 Rs a month

(x Sanctioned in 1903) FL S-

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pris File No. 50 of 191 1

Serial No.

or Rs 81 6 per annum.

35 Perhaps the proposed establishment is in addition to the present Staff of 1 Daffedar, 6 warders + 1 Sikh; the total cost thus would be Rs 816 + 1356 = Rs 2172 per annum.

36 This information was called for at the instance of the 1st Councillor & if it should be decided that the Barr guards at Shimoga has to be withdrawn, Branch II has to be consulted, as the new expenditure will be a recurring one.

37 As regards the question of the 1st Br in para 29 Supra as to why these men are wanted by the Military Deptt, the Chief Commandant states that the treasure escort duty is heavy in the Dts served by the 3rd Battalion (Vide paper I under disposal).

Subd. for orders.

K.N. 14/2/13

38. DY Secy) SO 14/2

39 There is yet no answer to the 1st Councillor's query in para 29. The Chief C's letter gives two reasons: (1) Escort duty (treasure) is heavy: & (2) increase in the number of taluk treasury guards. The former existed in the past too: & is there any reference to support the latter?

Dewer 15/2

SPR 15/2

Pris.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pro File No. 50 of 1911

Serial No.

1)
Subd. The Chief Commandant in his letter to Govt. re the replacing of the Police Constables that guard the Chitaldrug Taluk Treasury by the Barr guard gives two reasons for not being able to comply with the request of the Dy. Commr of Chitaldrug. (i) that two more guards are now depited for work at Kumsi & Hosadurga & (ii) that there is a paucity of hands in the 3rd Battalion Stationed at Shimoga. It is perhaps due to these reasons that the Chief Commandant proposes to withdraw the Barr now on duty at the Shimoga Dt. Lock-up

KN.(128) 19.2.13 SW 19.2.13

D.S. 19/2/13

2) The file is resubmitted with reference to paras 28 & 29 containing the remarks of the 1st Councillor.

2nd Councillor

Dixie 19/2

3) The information was wanted in the first instance from the Chief Commandant to whom this letter should have been addressed. This may now be done.

It is to be remembered that this is Council business.

21/2/13

1st.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

14

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 50 of 1911

Serial No.

1st Consultation

43 Draw 2/2 11 2/2

Seen. The file may now be brought up at a meeting

6/3/13

44 (If the sanctioned strengths of the Bar regiments is kept up, there will apparently be no difficulty in supplying the guards necessary).

45 Submitted to Dewan Bahadur, if permitted, be brought up at a Council meeting as ordered by the late Dewan (Vide 1 & 8 of the notes)

Dewan 7/3 Draw 7/3 7/3

1741 8.3.13

46 Please bring up at a Council meeting.

29.3.13

C. Secy

47 Note for meeting 29/3 Draw 31/3 31/3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Extract from the Proceedings of the 298th Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the 21st, 23rd, 24th ~~190~~ and 25th April 1913.

---

18. SUBJECT. - (Clause x of the Schedule).

Chief Commandant's proposal to relieve the Barr detachment at Shimoga from guard duty at the Shimoga District Lock-up.

4d

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

The Council does not consider it desirable to relieve the Barr detachment at Shimoga from Lock-up duty. The work is suitable to them, being of about the same nature as that of guarding Treasuries.

Sd. M. Visvesvaraya
Dewan of Mysore.

Extract

W Srinivasa Iyer
Offg. Secretary to Govt.

GPB—1000—13-5-08

C [R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pro File No. 50 of 191

Serial No.	
49	Submitted with Extracts from Council proceedings & Drafts & a final note for the Govt Sec's Sec's 2nd Corrn 2/5
50	Copy-Note with approved draft appended as the same is returned 10/5/13 Dewan 12/5

3125
19.5.13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Finial Note of the Second Committee.

The Chief Commandant proposes that the Barr detachment at Shimoga near Shanti be relieved from guard duty at the Shimoga District Lock-up on the grounds that the duty of escorting treasure has ~~increased~~ become heavy and that the number of Taluk treasury guards has been increased.

2. It is considered however undesirable to relieve the Barr detachment at Shimoga from lock-up duty for the following reasons :—

(a) The work is suited to them, being of about the same nature as that of guarding treasuries.

(b) A civil establishment costing Rs 113 per month will become necessary in the place of Barr Guard costing only Rs 76 per mensem.

(c) In view of the distant location of the Shimoga Jail, it is safer to employ the Barr for purposes of guarding.

3. The District Magistrate is also in favour of the change suggested. However it does not appear to be necessary if the sanctioned Strength of the Barr Regiments is kept up.

9/18

10/5/13

Final note of the Second Councillor.

Subject:- Chief Commandant's proposal to relieve the Barr detachment at Shimoga from guard duty at the Shimoga District Lock-up.

---

51 The Chief Commandant proposes that the Bar detachment at Shimoga should be relieved from guard duty at the Shimoga District Lock-up on the grounds that the duty of escorting treasure has become heavy and that the number of taluk treasury guards has been increased.

52 2. It is, however, considered undesirable to relieve the Barr detachment at Shimoga from -- Lock-up duty for the following reasons:-

(a) The work is suited to them, being of about the same nature as that of guarding treasuries.

(b) A civil establishment costing Rs.113/- per month will become necessary in the place of Barr Guard costing only Rs.76/- per mensem.

(c) In view of the distant location of the -- Shimoga Jail, it is safer to employ the Barr for purposes of guarding.

53 3. The District Magistrate is also not in -- favour of the change suggested. Besides, it does not appear to be necessary if the sanctioned strength of the Barr Regiments is kept up.

10/5/13

Submitted to His Highness.

J

54 I submit herewith the Final Note of the Second Councillor regarding the question of relieving the Barr detachment at Shimoga from guard duty at the Shimoga District Lock-up. The Council does not consider it desirable to relieve the Barr force of this duty, as, in its opinion, the work is suitable to them, being of about the same nature as that of guarding treasuries. The resolution of the Council may be approved.

Page 15 of office Note.

M. Visvesvaraya
17th May 1913

2170

20

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Proposal to relieve the Barr detachment at Shimoga from guard duty at the Shimoga District Lock-up.

Order.

~~KRMP~~

22/5/13

55 Secretary 22.5.13. [illegible]

56 B III Please submit to 2nd Counsel with papers [illegible] 26/5/13

57 Draft please [illegible] 27/6

[illegible] 30/5

[illegible]

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pri File No. 50 of 1911

Serial No.

58) Draft order subd.
KN.(123) 4.6.13 KN 5/6

Dy Secy) SPR 6/6
2nd Communication) Para 56: Dy Secy & Secy 6/6 SPR

RG(CSR) 6/6 59) Draft appd. by 2? Cor
WS 9/6 Secy 9/6

60) Issue
SPR 9/6

8 do. The Chief Commandant, Mysore State Imp.
" D.G. of Prisons
" Dt. Magte of Shimoga
& no. J 3805-70 12.6.13
Declines to relieve the Barr detachment from guard at the Shimoga Dt. Lock-up.

B 13/6

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—35,000—17-6-12.

J 2745
Pris 50-11-1

C.C.O. 4]

No. 4337
Enc. 3

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,
MYSORE STATE TROOPS.

Dated the 11th April 1912

From

~~LIEUT~~-COLONEL J. DESARAJ URS, M.V.O., C.I.E.,
Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops,
Bangalore.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esqr., B.A.,
Offg.Secretary to the Govt.of H.H.the Maharaja
of Mysore General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to the enclosed copy of report No.616 dated 12th February 1912 from the Commandant of the 3rd Battalion at Shimoga re the withdrawal of Barr Guard now detailed for duty at the District Jail at Shimoga I have the honor to state that the Inspector General of Prisons was addressed by me in the matter in my letter No.3846 dated 11th March 1912; In reply the --- Inspector General of Prisons states in his No.307/27-07 dated 28th March 1912 that it is not possible to relieve the guard - on the ground, that the Lockup is a mile and a half from the town, (vide copy of letter attached).

2. As however the Commandant says that the treasure escort duty is rather heavy in the District served by the 3rd Battalion and as the number of Taluk Treasury Guards to be furnished by the Battalion is also to be increased, I request that orders may be kindly issued to relieve the Barr guard at the Shimoga Jail and that departmental arrangements be made for the purpose as

in

GPB—1,000—24-3-11

in the case of the other Districts Lockups.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Colonel

Chief Commandant,

Mysore State Troops.

Ref to M.No 1

No 616

దరఖాస్తు -

మైసూరు శ్రీమియట్లో జనరల్ ఆఫీసర్ కమాండింగ్ తమ్మిడాంతో కాంపులో బరవశరుల జాబితా జనాబిక్ --

ఆజ్ఞ దాన్ని తిట్టి శివమొగ్గా దాజరిగినటువంటి తాలం తమ్మిడాంతో తిలక మొగడుపశువైద్యాధికారి మిలిటరీ రాఘవనాయ్క బందగిమంతోగల ఆంధ్ర-

తమ తాలం మజ్దూరు ప్రతిని జనసళ్ళు దున్నుటకై మొదుకెత్తియిరువ కొరతిక్రవిశీవమత్తు దున్నుటిజాగ సన్నిశిమొగంటినుపకవిశీల్ పమ్మి పరాంబరిశేబుల్లో సభ్రీగరితినిరుత్తీవిక్ -- జనసళ్ళనుంజాయి స్త్రీయిల్లదే కొంశగా రిమ్మ్రస్తగళ్ళన్ను కొరిరిఅనికేనడుమొండిద్దే. మీనియిరువదద్వారింద $\frac{611}{9.2.12}$, $\frac{612}{9.2.12}$ నేలనంబరు రిప్రోలపగళ్ళ ప్రతిమండిరువ రంశినచే కొమ్మొంతమ మత్తు శివమొగ్గా దిస్ట్రిక్ట్ లాంరీ ప్రోపుదుళ్ళు బద్దిమండిదాగ్ సీరింపు ప్రధవా-డాంరిమి పోగ్ నోకాల ప్రొక్లైమ్ శ్రీమవరిమం జేంకళ్ళుంరు రాజరి 2 గ్రి తీవాం లంప్రతి $\frac{1}{2}$ ప్రధ్ధతింబ్రీ జనళ్ళు రేళ్ళుకినువబళ్ళి ప్రప్రీనాయంగబేరిల్లద-బలుం లంమజ్దూరు ప్రతిజెంకళ్ళూరు మ్రీనుంబు మత్తు వీరిళ్ళ నీ-శీమీలలియిరువ నాళ్ళరీమం ప్రోళ్ళ దర్శ్యాంత్ బళ్ళ యిండ ప్రప్రీనాయంగబేరిని జాంరిరోళుండిద్దీవిక్.

కం: $\frac{11}{12}$ -2-12 శివమొగ్గా.

Sd/ Raghava Naik,
Subedar Major
Officer Commanding.

"Copy"
T.S. Ramachandra Rao
11/4/12 Hd. Clerk. C. i/c Office.

Encl to No 1

No.307/27-07/Enc.1 dated 28th March 1912 from Offg. Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore, Bangalore to the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, Bangalore.

==========

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.3846 dated 11th March 1912 enquiring whether departmental arrangements could be madeto relieve the Barr Guard that is now sent to the District Jail at Shimoga, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of docket No.3195 dated 22nd March 1912 from the District Magistra. of Shimoga and to state that it is not possible to make --- departmental arrangements to guard the District Lock-up.

2. The present arrangements of sending Barr Pahara may there--fore be kindly continued.

I have etc.,

Sd. P.S.Achyuta Rao

Offg.Inspector General of Prisons

" Copy "

Colonel

Chief Commandant,

Mysore State Troops.

Docket No.3195 dated the 22nd March 1912 from ---- K.Srinivasa Rao Esqr., District Magistrate, Shimoga, to P.S.Achyuta Rao Esqr., Offg.Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.

==========

In reply to his docket No.242/27-07 dated 14th instant, enquiring whether it is necessary to have the Barr Guard for the District Jail, has the honor to state that such difficult as is mentioned in the letter of the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, was not felt till now, The Barr Guard for the District Jail cannot be dispensed with. The Lock-up is about a mile and a half from the Town.

= = = = = = = = = =

" Copy "

Sd. P.S.Achyuta Rao
Offg.Inspector General of Prisons

" Copy "

Colonel
Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops.

2 copies

6

G.R.S. 26] 2745/2015

Pris File No. 50-11

Serial No, 2

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 35-10 Encl

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Pris)

, the 19 .

To

The Dt Magistrate Shimoga

Sir

Date of issue 29-5-12

Signed by ssr S Giriyamadeya

I am directed to state that the Chief Commandant Mysore State Troops has proposed to Govt that the Bar guard at Shimoga may be relieved of the duty of guarding the Dt lock-up at the place and that departmental arrangements may be made for the purpose as in other districts. Govt declined to sanction a similar proposal made in 1897 on the grounds that

over.

Enclose Copy of a letter received from the Ch. Commt of the H. S. T. relative to the proposal regarding the Bar Guard at Shimoga & to request the favour of yr opinion in the matter,

SUBJECT.

Seal

Sd

(now converted into a lock-up
the Dt. Jail was far from the
Sessions town and near the jungles.
The D.G. of Prisons who was
consulted in the matter concedes
that the Barr guard may be
relieved of the lock-up duty
in case a separate guarding
establishment is sanctioned for
the Dt. Lock-up. I am to request
that Govt. may be furnished
with your opinion in the matter

I am &c

Yours obedly

SW(11)
16/8/12

Dbc A864
15/8/12

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28-2-11.

III 186 S

7

J 3343

1912

From The District Magistrate
Shimoga Dist

To The Hon'ble Secy: to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Departt

Dated 17th Bangalore
Despatched June 1912
Received
General No. 349
No. J. 64
Enclosure No. One

With reference to
No. J. 3510 dated 29th
Pris: 50.11.2
May 1912 forwards
copy of my letter to the
I.G. of Prisons re the with-
drawal of Rs. 1 guard at
the District Lockup at
Shimoga.

GPB—75 reams—25-5-10

Office of the District
Magistrate, Shimoga Dist.
Dated 17th June 1912.

Pol 349 Conf.
J. 64

Pois 50-11-3-

From, K. Srinivasa Rao Avl
District Magistrate,
Shimoga District.

To, K. R. Srinivasaiengar Esqre
M. A.
Off: Secretary to the Govern-
ment of H. H. the Maharaja
of Mysore, General & Rev: Depart.
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter
No. J. 3510 dated 29th May 1912.
Pois: 50.11.2
relating to the withdrawal of the
Barr Guard of the Shimoga
District Lockup, I have the
honour to forward herewith
for information Copy of my
reply, to the Inspector General
of

of Prisons on the same subject

2. I am not disposed to recommend the withdrawal of the Barr Guard.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

H. Ramee Rao

Asst Commissioner, Shimoga Subdivision,
For Deputy Comr and Dist Magistrate Shimoga
(absent from the Station).

1911.12

Confdl Proc 50-11-39

From,

K. Srinivasa Rao Esqre
District Magistrate,
Shimoga

To, P. S. Achyuta Rao Esqre L. M. S.
Asst. Inspector General
of Prisons in Mysore.

Dated 22nd March 1912

No. 3195.

In reply to his docket No. 242/27-07 dated the 14th instant enquiring whether it is necessary to have the Barr guard for the District Jail, has the honour to state that such difficulty as is mentioned in the letter of the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops, was not felt till now. The Barr guard for the District Jail cannot be dispensed with

north. The Lockup is about a mile and a half from the town.

S. of D. Sriniwasangar
For District Magistrate
Shimoga Dist

Copy.

N. Ramma Rao
For District Magistrate
Shimoga District

mw

10

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 50-11

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. 1351.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Pris)
, *the* 19 .

To

The Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops.

Date of issue 19-11-12

Signed by [illegible]

Sir

With reference to your letter no. 43378 11th April 1912 and subsequent reminde in the matter of the withdrawl of Barr guard now detailed for duty at the District Jail Shimoga, I am directed to request that a statement shewing the strength and cost of the guard on duty—

over.

SUBJECT.

there may (at an early date) be forwarded for the information of Govt., and I am also to enquire ~~why those men are wanted by the Military Deptt and~~ whether any additional work is imposed (proposed to be) on them.

I have etc

[illegible]

For Secy to Govt

K.N.(123) 15.11.12 SW(11) 16/11

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—26-9-10

11

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 5071

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. £1352.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Prisons)
, *the* 19 .

Date of issue 19-11-12

Signed by mrs Hiriyannaiya

To

The Inspector General
of Prisons in Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to request you to be so good as to forward a statement, for the information of Govt., shewing the strength and cost of the guard establishment that may be required for the Dt. jail at Shimoga, in case the Barr guard now detailed for duty

over.

SUBJECT.

there is to be withdrawn
by the Military Department
I have etc

For Secy to Govt.

KW.(123) SW(1)
15.11.12 16/11/12

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—25-9-10

C. O. O. 45

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF COMMANDANT,
MYSORE STATE TROOPS.
Dated the 5th December 1912.

No. 2264
Enc. One

DIARY No. 1481.

13/12-19 12
SECTION Pris
FILE No. 50 11
SERIAL No. 6

From

COLONEL J. DESARAJ URS, C. I. E., M. V. O.,
Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops,
Bangalore.

To K. R. Srinivasiengar, Esqr., M.A.,
Offg.Secretary to the Govt.of H.H.the Maharaja
of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.J 1351/Pris 50-11-4 dated 19th November 1912, I have the honor to forward herewith as - requested a statement shewing the strength and cost of one - full Barr Guard detailed for duty at the District Jail ---- Shimoga.

2. The men on guard duty are relieved once a week and a --- fresh guard detailed.

3. The men relieved will be available for escort and other - duties.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.
J Desaraj
Colonel
Chief Commandant,
Mysore State Troops.

GPB—2,500—20-3-12

Enclosure 50-11-6 13

Statement shewing the Strength and cost of 1 Barr Guard.

Details	Pay.	Cost
1 Havildar 1st Grade - - - - - - - - -	12-0-0	
1 Naick ----do----- , - - - - - - - -	10-0-0	
6 Sepoys ----do----- at R$.9/- - - -	54-0-0	76-0-0
Total R$.per mensem - - - - - - - -	-76-0-0	
or R$.912/- annually.		

If the men deputed, are of different grades the cost will be a little less.

Office of the Chief Commandant,
Mysore State T roops,
Bangalore dated 5 December 1912.

J Desaraples
Colonel
Chief Commandant,
Mysore State T roops.

Yes

No. 186 / 27-7. Encs: 3. OFFICE OF THE INSPR.-GEN. OF PRISONS IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 8th February 1913.

FROM

Rao Saheb Dr. T.V.Arumugum Moodr.

Offg.Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore,

Bangalore.

DIARY No. 2170

19/11-1912

SECTION Prisons

FILE No. 50-11

SERIAL No. 7

To

K.R.Srinivasiengar Esqr., M.A.,

Offg.Secretary to the Government of H. H.

the Maharaja of Mysore, Gen. and Rev. Depts.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. J.1352/Pris.50-11-5 dated the 19th November 1912, calling for a statement shewing the strength and cost of the guard establishment that may be required for the District Lock-up at Shimoga, in case the Barr guard now detailed for duty there, is to be withdrawn by the Military Department, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement received from the District Magistrate, Shimoga.

2. It will be seen therefrom that there is a small difference in the number of guards as proposed by the District Lock-up Officer and by the Superintendent of Police.

3. I am of opinion that the views expressed by the Superintendent of Police seems reasonable and therefore concur with the District Magistrate, who also agrees with the proposal made by the said officer (Superintendent of Police).

4. A copy of District Magistrate's docket No.2302 dated 30/31st January 1913 together with a copy of Superintendent's letter No. 521 dated 4th idem received therewith, is also forwarded herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Inspector-General of Prisons.

From

The Inspr.-Gen. of Prisons
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Depts.

Dated 8th Feby /13.

Despatched

Received

General No. 186
No. 27—9.
Enclosure No. 3.

Subject.

Forwards a Statt showing the strengtht & cost of the guard estt that may be required for the Distt Lock-up at Shimoga, in case the Barr-guard now detailed for duty there, is to be withdrawn by the Military Deptt.

GPB—460—10-9-12

15

Docket No.2302 dated 30/31st January 1913 from K.Srinivasa Rao Esqr., District Magistrate, Shimoga, to Rao Saheb T.V.Arumugum Moodr., Esqr., Offg. Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore, Bangalore.

-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-o-

As called for in his Memo No.1452/27-07 dated the 23rd November 1912, has the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the strength and cost of the guard establishment that may be required for the District Lock-up at Shimoga, in case the Barr-Guard now detailed for duty by the Military Department is to be withdrawn. It will be seen from the statement that there is a small difference in the number of guards as proposed by the District Lock-up Officer and by the Superintendent of Police. The undersigned concurs with the proposal made by the Superintendent of Police and the views expressed by him in the covering letter, copy of which is also enclosed for information.

" C O P Y "

Offg. Inspr. Genl. of Prisons.

T.C.G.

Encl to 41.m7

Letter No.521 dated 4th January 1913 from the Superintendent of Police, Shimoga District, to the District Magistrate, Shimoga.

With reference to your letter No.1646-7 dated 27th November 1912, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the strength and cost of the additional guard required for the Shimoga District Lock-up in case the Barr-Guard is withdrawn from the duty they are now attending to. The actual guard duty is now performed by a pahara of 8 men every day, 2 of them being non-commissioned officers of the rank of Havaldar and Naik and the rest sepoys. An officer of the Commissioned rank supervises their work. Shimoga being the head-quarters of an infantry Regiment the pahara men are changed every morning, a new set being sent in lieu. Thus the arduous nature of the Lock-up guard work will not be felt as taxing by the sepoys. If on the other hand a detachment consisting of 2 Daffedars and 6 warders only to do this work replacing the Barr paharas, the work will be very taxing and tiresome and will I am afraid lead to desertions and frequent absences. I have therefore proposed that the number of warders may be kept at 9 so that absences and casualities might be minimised. An officer of the Police Jamadar's rank may also be necessary to supervise the work of the whole staff as is now done by a Subadar or Jamadar of the Barr.

" C O P Y "

(Sd.) D.Srinivasiengar

For District Magistrate,

Shimoga.

" C o p y "

Offg. Inspr. Genl. of Prisons.

I.C.G.

Statement showing the additional establishment that may be required in case the Barr-Guard is withdrawn from the District Lock-up at Shimoga.

Proposal made by the Superintendent of Police.				Proposal of the District Lock-up Officer.			
Strength.	Number.	Cost	Remarks.	Strength.	Number.	Cost	Remarks.
2nd grade Jamadar.	1.	RS.20-0-0.		Jamadar.	1	Rs 20-0-0.	
lance Daffedar.	2.	24-0-0.	at RS.12/- each.	Daffedar.	2	20-0-0.	at Rs.10/-each.
1st class constable.	6.	48-0-0.	at RS. 8/- each.	1st class warders.	4	32-0-0.	at Rs. 8/-each.
2nd class constable.	3.	21-0-0.	at RS. 7/- each.	2nd class warders.	8	56-0-0.	at Rs. 7/-each.
				Sweepers.	1	7-0-0.	
	12.	113-0-0.			16	135-0-0.	

D. Srinivasa Rao

for Deputy Commissioner of Police

Shimoga District.

B.B.

Heilining to retain the Bar guard at Shimoga from guarding the Dt. Lock-up

(F.R.S. 28.]

Gris File No. 50–11

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

Paper under disposal I

the 19

Date of issue 12-6-13

Signed by Mr S.P. Rajagopalachar

READ--

Letter no. 43378 11th April 1912 from the Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops proposing that the Bar detachment at Shimoga should be relieved

ORDER THEREON.—No.

of the guard duty at the Dt. Lock-up on the grounds that the duty of escorting treasure has become heavy and that the number of Taluk Treasury guards has been

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000—19-4-10

increased.

2. Correspondence ending with letter no. 186/27-078, 8th Febry 1913 from the I.G. of Prisons in the matter. Order thereon: No. J 3805-7

Paper under disposal

On a careful consideration of the case, Govt do not consider it desirable to relieve the Barr detachment from guard duty at the Shimoga Dt. Lock-up, as the work is suitable to them being of the same nature as that of guarding treasuries and as it is also safer to employ them for the purposes ~~of guarding~~ in view of the distant location of the Lock-up at Moreover, the change proposed by the Chief Commandant does not appear to be necessary if the sanctioned Strength of the Barr regiment is kept up.

Govt

9/6/13

For Secy to Govt

SN/5/6

2o. The Chief Commandant, Mysore State Troops

I.G. of Prisons in Mysore

Dt. Magte of Shimoga.

KN(123) 4.6.13.